

The Biologics License Application Process

An Overview



What is a Biologics License Application (BLA)?

A request for permission to introduce, or deliver for introduction, a biologic product into interstate commerce

21 CFR 601.2



CBER Regulatory Authority

BIOLOGICS

- Investigational New Drug Exemptions (IND, 21 CFR 312)
- Biologics License Applications (BLA, 21 CFR 600-680)

EXAMPLES

- Vaccines and allergenic products
- Blood Products (including blood grouping reagents and donor screening tests for bloodborne pathogens)
- Cellular & gene therapies, xenotransplantation)



Who Submits a BLA?

MANUFACTURER (Applicant)

Any legal person or entity who is engaged in manufacture

or

• An applicant for a license who takes responsibility for compliance with product and establishment standards



What is in a BLA?

- Form FDA 356h (cover sheet)
- Applicant Information
- Product / Manufacturing information
- Pre-clinical studies
- Clinical studies
- Labeling



BLA – Applicant Information

- Name, address & phone number
- Name & address of facilities
- Authorized official



BLA – Product/Manufacturing Information

- Source material / raw materials
- Manufacturing process and controls
- Formulation
- Facility information
- Contamination/cross-contamination information
- Environmental assessment or categorical exclusion



BLA – Safety, Efficacy and Use Information

- Pre-clinical studies
- Clinical studies
- Labeling



International Harmonization

Using the CTD (Common Technical Document)

- An agreed upon common format for the modular presentation of summaries, reports and data
- Content is harmonized to the extent of relevant ICH guidelines
- Guidance for Industry:
 Submitting Marketing Applications According to the ICH-CTD Format General Considerations
 - http://www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/mrktapich.pdf



Electronic Submissions

- Submission of BLA/S may be made on paper or electronically
- Submissions should be made in accordance with published guidance:
 - http://www.fda.gov/cber/esub/esub.htm



Before the BLA is Submitted

- Pre-BLA meeting
 - CBER SOPP 8101.1 Scheduling and Conduct of Regulatory Review Meetings with Sponsors and Applicants
- Identify potential review committee
- Consider Advisory Committee needs & schedule
- Arrange for BiMo Inspection



The Review Committee

CONSTITUTED TO CONTAIN THE NECESSARY EXPERTISE TO REVIEW THE SUBMISSION



Responsibilities – Chairperson/Lead

- CONSTITUTE the committee
- ASSIGN sections for review
- SCHEDULE and CONDUCT meetings
- WRITE "action" letters
- PRESENT at Advisory Committee Meetings
- REQUEST a pre-license inspection
- PREPARE a Summary of Basis for Approval (SBA)



Responsibilities Regulatory Project Manager

MANAGE the review of the application **REVIEW assigned portions of application** PERFORM quality control check on the review **ASSURE** reviews are documented properly **ASSURE** review of labeling is complete **COORDINATE** compliance status check PREPARE approval letter for new products PREPARE finding of no significant impact



Responsibilities Discipline Reviewer

- REVIEW assigned sections of the application
- WRITE an annotated review memo
- **ATTEND** review committee meetings
- COMMUNICATE with the applicant as necessary and document the discussion (as per Staff Manual Guide 2126.2
- **▶ PREPARE for Advisory Committee meetings**
- PARTICIPATE in the pre-approval inspection (if necessary)
- CONSIDER if a public health and/or research questions need to be answered relative to product approval

Application Received

- Administrative processing
 - Submission tracking number assigned (STN)
 - data entry
 - user fee verification
- First committee meeting
 - review assignments
 - time frames



SUBMISSION TRACKING NUMBER aaaaaa.bbbb/cccc



Filing Review

- Review for completeness
 - RTF policy
 - CBER SOPP 8404 Refusal to File Guidance for Product License Applications and Establishment License Applications
- Filing meeting
- Filing letter
- Communicate any significant deficiencies noted up to that time (but not a complete review)



Refuse To File

- A refusal to file (RTF) letter is issued when the submission has been deemed not sufficiently complete for a meaningful review
- 21 CFR601.2(a), RTF Policy, SOPP 8404
- The Applicant may request that the submission be Filed Over Protest: SOPP 8404.1



Complete Review

- Substantive review
 - Information requests
 - Review memos
 - Discipline reviews
 - labeling
 - lot release protocols
- Inspections
 - Facility
 - Bioresearch Monitoring
- Advisory Committee presentation



Information Requests (IRs)

- Issued while the review is in progress
- Requests information needed to continue the review
- IRs may be made by letter, telephone or FAX
- IRs are documented in the file
- The response to an information request should not be so great as to constitute a major amendment
- Responses to information requests do not necessarily have to be reviewed in the current review cycle
- DOES NOT STOP THE REVIEW CLOCK
- SOPP 8401.1



Discipline Reviews (DRs)

- A DR letter is issued when a particular discipline (clinical, CMC, etc.) has finished its review, but the complete review is not yet done
- A DR letter contains comments and questions that might appear in the action letter
- Responses to DR letters need not necessarily be reviewed prior to issuance of the action letter
- DOES NOT STOP THE REVIEW CLOCK
- SOPP 8401.1



Administrative Record

- Paper trail documenting the decision making process and basis for the decision
- Copies of Telecons, FAXes, Review Memos, Meeting Minutes, etc., become part of the administrative record and are entered into the file and the tracking system



Action Decision

- After a complete review is finished
 - Inspections
 - Advisory Committee
- Review Committee meeting
 - Outstanding issues
 - Agreements & commitments
- License action recommendation
 - Not ready for approval
 - Approval



ACTION Not Ready for Approval

COMPLETE RESPONSE LETTER

- Itemizes all deficiencies in the application that must be corrected prior to approval
- Stops the review clock

RESUBMISSION

- Class 1 or 2
- Restarts the clock



PDUFA Resubmissions

- Guidance for Industry: Classifying Resubmissions in Response to Action Letters, May 14, 1998
- SOPP 8405.1 Procedures for the Classification of Resubmissions of an Application for a Product Covered by PDUFA III



Performance Goals (con't)

Resubmitted Applications

- Class 1
 - **90% in 2 months**
- Class 2
 - **90% in 6 months**
- Clinical Hold Responses
 - 90% in 30 days
- Major Dispute Resolution
 - 90% in 30 days
- **Protocol Assessments**
 - 90% in 45 days



Dispute Resolution

- Guidance for Industry: Formal Dispute Resolution: Appeals Above the Division Level
- SOPP 8005 Major Dispute Resolution Process (2/11/99)



ACTION Approval

- Compliance check
- Summary of Basis for Approval (SBA)
- Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) or confirm categorical exclusion
- Approval letter
 - Grants permission to distribute
 - Itemizes all agreements & commitments
- **Issue license**



Rules of the Road for Reviewers

- SGRA
 - -Sopps
 - -Guidances
 - -Regulations
 - -Acts

